Case: 1:11-cv-02615-JG Doc #: 15 Filed: 02/17/12 1 of 4. PageID #: 61

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO CLEVELAND DIVISION

BRUCE WHITE on Behalf of Himself and All Others Similarly Situated			
Plaintiffs,	CASE NO. 1:11-CV-2615		
V.	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE JAMES S. GWIN		
CRST, INC.	JANALO S. GWIIV		
Defendant.			
REPORT OF PARTIES' PLANNING MEETING UNDER FED.R.CIV.P.26(f)			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	conference was held on February 10, 2012 and		
was attended by:			

- Matthew A. Dooley, Esq., Counsel for Plaintiffs;
- Anthony R. Pecora, Esq., Counsel for Plaintiffs;
- Gregory J. Lucht, Esq., Counsel for Defendant; and
- Molly M. Lukenbill, Esq., Counsel for Defendant.

The parties furthered conferred by teleconference on February 15, 2012.

2.	The parties:	
		have not been required to make initial disclosures.
	X	will exchange the pre-discovery disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P
	26 (a)(1) on o	or before March 2, 2012.

3.	The parties recommend the following track:
	ExpeditedStandard <b>X</b> _Complex <sup>1</sup>
	Administrative Mass Tort
4.	This case is suitable for one or more of the following Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR") mechanisms
	Early Neutral Mediation Arbitration Evaluation
	Trial Summary Jury Summary Bench X Case not suitable for ADR at this time
5.	The parties do not consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge
	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).
6.	Recommended Discovery Plan:
a)	Describe the subjects on which discovery is to be sought and the nature and extent of
	discovery.
	The parties agree to a bifurcated discovery process subject to the Court's approval.
	Phase I discovery will focus upon the issues pertaining to Rule 23(b) class
	certification. Should the Court certify a class following Phase I discovery, Phase II

respective damages.

discovery will focus upon the merits of putative class members' claims and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The discovery schedule outlined below generally comports with the time requirements of a Standard Track case within the meaning of L.R. 16.2 and 16.3. However, in light of the putative class allegations, the parties anticipate that the number of fact witnesses, expert witnesses, and likely trial days will meet or exceed the prerequisites for Complex Track, L.R. 16.2(b)(3).

Both Phase I and Phase II discovery will include interrogatories, requests for production of documents and depositions. Third party subpoenas may be required to obtain additional information regarding the identity of putative class members.

- b) The parties (indicate one):

  \_\_\_\_\_\_ agree that there will be no discovery of electronically-stored information; or

  \_\_\_\_\_\_ X\_\_\_ have agreed to a method for conducting discovery of electronically-stored information; or

  \_\_\_\_\_\_ have agreed to follow the default standard for discovery of electronically-stored information (Appendix K to Northern District Ohio Local Rules)
- c) Discovery cut-off and class certification briefing schedule:
  - 1. Phase I discovery cut-off date: June 25, 2012;
  - 2. Plaintiff's designation of expert and production of report: July 9, 2012;
  - 3. Defendant's designation of expert and production of report: August 9, 2012;
  - 4. Motion for class certification: September 4, 2012;
  - 5. Opposition to motion for class certification: October 4, 2012;
  - 6. Reply to motion for class certification: October 18, 2012;
  - 7. All expert class discovery: September 4, 2012.
- 7. Recommended dispositive motion date:

The parties propose that a status conference be held immediately following the Court's ruling on class certification to set dates within appropriate track.

Recommended cut-off date for amending the pleadings and/or adding additional parties:
 April 2, 2012

Case: 1:11-cv-02615-JG Doc #: 15 Filed: 02/17/12 4 of 4. PageID #: 64

9. Recommended date for a Status Hearing:

The parties propose that a status conference be held immediately following the Court's ruling on class certification to set dates within appropriate track.

10. Other matters for the attention of the Court:

The parties stipulate to serve all discovery requests and responses by electronic mail.

Respectfully submitted,

STUMPHAUZER, O'TOOLE, McLAUGHLIN, McGLAMERY & LOUGHMAN CO., LPA

By: /s/ Matthew A. Dooley

Dennis M. O'Toole (0003274) Matthew A. Dooley (0081482) Anthony R. Pecora (0069660)

5455 Detroit Road

Sheffield Village, Ohio 44054 Telephone: (440) 930-4001 Facsimile: (440) 394-7208

Email: dotoole@sheffieldlaw.com

mdooley@sheffieldlaw.com apecora@sheffieldlaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

and

BENESCH FRIEDLANDER COPLAN & ARONOFF, LLP

By: /s/ Gregory J. Lucht

Gregory J. Lucht (0075045)

Molly Moran Lukenbill (0084315)

200 Public Square, Suite 2300

Cleveland, Ohio 44114

Telephone: (216) 363-2378 Facsimile: (216) 363-4588

Email: glucht@beneschlaw.com

mlukenbill@beneschlaw.com

Counsel for Defendant